

REMARKS**Summary of the Office Action**

Claims 3-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,124,799 to *Parker* in view of PCT Publication No. WO98/57511 to *Telia et al.* and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,052,581 to *O'Connell et al.*

Summary of the Response to the Office Action

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of claims 3-11 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over *Parker* in view of *Telia et al.* and in further view of *O'Connell et al.*

Accordingly, claims 3-11 are presently pending for consideration.

All Claims Recite Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over *Parker* in view of *Telia et al.* and in further view of *O'Connell et al.* Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection for at least the following reasons.

The Office has not established a *prima facie* case of obviousness at least because *Parker*, *Telia et al.*, and *O'Connell et al.*, whether taken alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest each and every recited feature of independent claim 9. As previously presented, independent claim 9 recites a method of unlocking a mobile telephone including, in part, the steps of “the user, through said mobile telephone, establishing a communication by using a calling number relating to the manufacturer” and “said mobile phone proceeding itself to unlocking using the received unlocking information.” *Parker*, *Telia et al.*, and *O'Connell et al.*, whether taken alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest at least these features of independent claim 9.

The Office Action admits that the combination of *Parker* and *Telia et al.* “do not explicitly disclose that the user establishes a communication by using a calling number relating to the manufacturer of the handset in order to perform the unlocking function.” Paragraph 3. To overcome this admitted deficiency of *Parker* and *Telia et al.*, the Office Action relies upon the teachings of *O’Connell*.

At most, *O’Connell* discloses that additional features may be added to an already unlocked phone. *O’Connell* states that the “user may call a freephone run by the phone manufacturer or another party if appropriate and request that a feature be added to his or her telephone.” Col. 9, lines 28-31. Thus, *O’Connell* fails to teach or suggest the steps of the steps of “the user, through said mobile telephone, establishing a communication by using a calling number relating to the manufacturer” and “said mobile phone proceeding itself to unlocking using the received unlocking information,” as claimed. Moreover, the Office Action does not specifically address these claim features.

Accordingly, the rejection of independent claim 9 is improper and should be withdrawn. Furthermore, claims 3-8, 10, and 11 depend from independent claims 9. Accordingly, claims 3-8, 10, and 11 are also allowable for at least the reasons stated above.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and the timely allowance of the pending claims. Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of the Response, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants’ undersigned representative to expedite prosecution.

EXCEPT for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this

application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required,
including any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No.


50-0310. This paragraph is intended to be a **CONSTRUCTIVE PETITION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME** in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(3).

Respectfully submitted,

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Dated: June 6, 2008

By:

 *Robert J. Goodell*
Reg. No. 41,040

for Mary Jane Boswell, Reg. No. 33,652

Customer No. 009629

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

Tel: 202-739-3000

Fax: 202-739-3001